


# MARTIN LUTHER KING, JR. NATIONAL MEMORIAL Washington, DC

## ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

*Responsible Agency:*  
**National Park Service**  
*in association with:*  
**Washington, DC Martin Luther King, Jr.  
National Memorial Project Foundation, Inc.**

**July 2005**



# **MARTIN LUTHER KING, JR. NATIONAL MEMORIAL Washington, D.C.**

## **ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT**

*Responsible Agency:*

**National Park Service**

*in association with:*

**Washington, DC Martin Luther King, Jr.  
National Memorial Project Foundation, Inc.**

### **Abstract:**

The National Park Service has prepared an Environmental Assessment (EA) to address the proposed establishment and operation of a memorial to commemorate Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. in Washington DC. This EA identifies the potential impacts associated with the Proposed Action and a No Action Alternative and recommends mitigation measures to offset identified impacts. The information contained in this EA has been prepared in accordance with National Environmental Policy Act and National Historic Preservation Act requirements.

### **Direct Comments on the Environmental Assessment by fax or email to:**

John Parsons

National Park Service – National Capital Region

Fax: (202) 619-7420

Email: [John\\_Parsons@nps.gov](mailto:John_Parsons@nps.gov)

# Table of Contents

## 1.0 PURPOSE AND NEED

1.1	Introduction.....	1-1
1.2	Purpose and Need for the Proposed Action .....	1-3
1.3	Project Setting.....	1-5
1.3.1	Study Area .....	1-5
1.3.2	History of the National Mall .....	1-6
1.4	Significance of Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. ....	1-7
1.5	Design Process.....	1-11
1.5.1	Design Competition.....	1-11
1.5.2	Ongoing Design Review.....	1-12
1.6	Cumulative Relationship to Other Projects.....	1-15
1.7	Issues and Impact Topics .....	1-15

## 2.0 PROPOSED ACTION AND ALTERNATIVE

2.1	Consideration of Alternative Sites .....	2-1
2.2	Proposed Memorial .....	2-6
2.3	No Action Alternative .....	2-6

## 3.0 AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT

3.1	Socio-Economic Environment .....	3-1
3.1.1	Land Use .....	3-1
3.1.2	Planning Controls and Policies .....	3-3
3.1.3	Demographic and Economic Resources .....	3-5
3.1.4	Visitor Use and Experience.....	3-6
3.2	Cultural Resources.....	3-7
3.2.1	Archaeological Resources.....	3-7
3.2.2	Historic and Cultural Resources .....	3-7
3.2.3	Visual Resources .....	3-15

3.3	Natural Resources .....	3-16
3.3.1	Geophysical Resources .....	3-16
3.3.2	Water Resources .....	3-16
3.3.3	Vegetation .....	3-18
3.3.4	Wildlife and Aquatic Life .....	3-19
3.3.5	Hazardous Materials .....	3-19
3.3.6	Air Quality .....	3-19
3.3.7	Noise Levels .....	3-20


3.4	Transportation and Urban Systems .....	3-21
3.4.1	Roadway Traffic .....	3-21
3.4.2	Parking .....	3-23
3.4.3	Public Transportation .....	3-23
3.4.4	Pedestrian and Bicycle Circulation .....	3-23
3.4.5	Stormwater Management .....	3-23
3.4.6	Site Utilities.....	3-24
3.4.7	Community Facilities .....	3-24

## 4.0 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES

4.1	Socio-Economic Environment .....	4-1
4.1.1	Land Use .....	4-1
4.1.2	Planning Controls and Policies .....	4-2
4.1.3	Demographic and Economic Resources .....	4-3
4.1.4	Visitor Use and Experience .....	4-4
4.2	Cultural Resources.....	4-6
4.2.1	Archaeological Resources.....	4-6
4.2.2	Historic and Cultural Resources .....	4-6
4.2.3	Visual Resources .....	4-8

4.3	Natural Resources .....	4-11
4.3.1	<i>Geophysical Resources</i> .....	4-11
4.3.2	<i>Water Resources</i> .....	4-12
4.3.3	<i>Vegetation</i> .....	4-14
4.3.4	<i>Wildlife and Aquatic Life</i> .....	4-14
4.3.5	<i>Hazardous Materials</i> .....	4-15
4.3.6	<i>Air Quality</i> .....	4-16
4.3.7	<i>Noise Levels</i> .....	4-17
4.4	Transportation and Urban Systems.....	4-18
4.4.1	<i>Roadway Traffic</i> .....	4-18
4.4.2	<i>Parking</i> .....	4-19
4.4.3	<i>Public Transportation</i> .....	4-20
4.4.4	<i>Pedestrian and Bicycle Circulation</i> .....	4-20
4.4.5	<i>Stormwater Management</i> .....	4-21
4.4.6	<i>Site Utilities</i> .....	4-21
4.4.7	<i>Community Facilities</i> .....	4-21
4.5	Summary of Cumulative Impacts .....	4-21
<b>5.0</b>	<b>CONSULTATION AND COORDINATION</b>	
5.1	Institutional Framework.....	5-1
5.2	Scoping Process .....	5-1
5.3	EA Comments .....	5-1
<b>6.0</b>	<b>APPENDICES</b>	
6.1	Design Description.....	6-1
6.2	Acronyms Used in the EA.....	6-3
6.3	Authorizing Legislation .....	6-3
6.4	List of Preparers.....	6-4
6.5	References.....	6-5

# 1.0 PURPOSE AND NEED





## 1.1 Introduction

The National Park Service (NPS) and the Washington, DC Martin Luther King, Jr. National Memorial Project Foundation, Inc. (Foundation) have proposed to establish and operate a national memorial to Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. on an approved site in Washington, DC. The Martin Luther King, Jr. National Memorial (Memorial) is conceived as a space that is quiet and contemplative; but also uses powerful and evocative symbolism to convey Dr. King's message of justice, democracy, and hope.

Public Law 104-333, Section 508, as passed by the Congress, and signed into law by President William J. Clinton on November 12, 1996, established the procedures for establishing the Memorial to honor Martin Luther King, Jr.. Thereafter, upon the recommendation of the Secretary of the Interior, Congress considered it appropriate for the Memorial to be located within Area I as identified in the 1986 Commemorative Works Act. Congress passed legislation that authorized the selection of a site in Area I that was approved by President Clinton and enacted as Public Law 105-201 on July 16, 1998.

The Alpha Phi Alpha Fraternity (Fraternity) has been authorized to establish the Memorial. The Fraternity has established within its organization the DC Martin Luther King, Jr. National Memorial Foundation (Foundation) to operate on behalf of the Fraternity in the development of the location, design, and construction of the Memorial. On behalf of the Secretary of the Interior, the National Park Service (NPS) and the Foundation are working together to undertake the requisite processes to enable the issuance of a permit to the Foundation. Upon completion and acceptance of the work necessary to construct the Memorial, in accordance with the directions of Public Law, the Memorial is to be maintained and operated by the National Park Service.



*Dr. King at the Washington Rally*

Source: Corbis

The Foundation contracted for a study of alternative sites and in November 1998 indicated its preference for the selection and approval of a site at the east end of Constitution Gardens Lake, near the intersection of 17th Street, NW and Constitution Avenue, NW, adjacent to the site of the World War II Memorial. The preferred site and alternate sites were presented to and recommended by the National Capital Memorial Commission at its meeting on November 10, 1998. The Constitution Gardens site was submitted to the National Capital Planning Commission (NCPC) and the Commission of Fine Arts (CFA), with NCPC approving the preferred site on March 4, 1999, and CFA disapproving the site at its March 23, 1999, meeting. However, CFA indicated that the West Potomac Park location west of the Tidal Basin had greater potential.

In April and May 1999, refinement of the West Potomac Park site was attempted, with a 4-acre site being recommended for approval by NCPC at its July 1, 1999, meeting. This submission followed the June 17, 1999 adoption of that site by CFA. However, NCPC did not adopt the revised site, which led to a CFA/NCPC-NPS Joint Task Force effort which culminated in an October 21, 1999, agreement on 11 design parameters and the approvals of the site and design parameters by CFA and NCPC in December 1999.

The Foundation organized a Design Committee to consider the design intents of the Memorial. As stated by the Design Committee, the Foundation believes that “the memorial should be a timeless beacon for human justice and dignity, an enduring testament to active citizenship, and a place for reflection and connection across national and international boundaries”.

In September 2000, the Foundation publicly announced its selection of a design from the submissions that were offered as part of an internationally noticed design competition. The competition winning design was presented for informational purposes to the Commission of Fine Arts and the National Capital Planning Commission in May

and June 2001. Each approving agency advised the Foundation that elements of the competition winning design needed to be carefully considered, revised, or amended should the design be submitted for conceptual approval.

This environmental document does not include design revisions. Rather, the National Park Service and the Foundation seek formal comments in 2005 on the competition-winning design prior to making design changes. Therefore, the Memorial program elements of the Foundation and the impacts of the proposed concept design are the subject of this Environmental Assessment.

This EA seeks to document and describe the proposed action and determine the potential impacts and recommended mitigation measures related to its implementation. The EA also evaluates a No Action Alternative, as required by CEQ. The EA addresses: 1) short-term construction-related impacts, 2) long-term operations-related impacts, and 3) cumulative impacts that would result from this and other projects that have been completed recently, are currently under development, or are reasonably foreseeable within the study area.

The NPS and the Foundation have prepared this EA in compliance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, as amended (NEPA), the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) regulations implementing NEPA [40 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) 1500-1508], and the NPS Director’s Order-12 (as reflected in the DO-12 Handbook). The EA is also consistent with the requirements of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, as amended (NHPA).





Map used with permission from Joseph R. Passonneau

*The proposed Memorial site is Federal parkland under the jurisdiction of and maintained by NPS.*



## 1.2 Purpose and Need for the Proposed Action

Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. was a dominant force in the Civil Rights Movement in the United States and made immeasurable contributions to world peace through non-violent social change. Although he was a leader in a number of historic moments in the Civil Rights Movement, such as the Montgomery Bus Boycott in 1956 and the March on Washington in 1963, Dr. King's lifelong dedication to the advancement of human rights is his enduring legacy to the world. He became a symbol of human rights and of a free America, where people of all races, creeds, and nationalities could live together in harmony. In short, Dr. Martin Luther King is a national hero and twentieth-century world leader. Despite his contributions and stature, there is no national monument to Dr. King in the Nation's Capital.

The purpose of the proposed action is to establish a memorial to Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. on an approved site in Washington, DC. The Martin Luther King, Jr. Memorial will commemorate the life and work of Dr. King and will be the first memorial in the Monumental Core to honor an individual African American.



*Civil Rights marchers en route from Selma to Montgomery, Alabama.*


Source: Flip Shulke

The Foundation intends the Memorial to serve as a “life-affirming beacon; a guiding wellspring for a living reminder to each of us of our own inherent capacity for self-transformation and our everlasting human capacity to overcome.”



*Dr. King attends the signing of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 by President Lyndon B. Johnson.*

Source: United Press International



*The approved site of the Martin Luther King, Jr. Memorial is located at the Tidal Basin and aligned with the visual axis from the Lincoln Memorial to the Jefferson Memorial.*



### 1.3 Project Setting


The approved site for the Martin Luther King, Jr. Memorial is a four-acre parcel of land located on the northwestern side of the Tidal Basin within West Potomac Park. The triangular-shaped site is located across the Tidal Basin from the Jefferson Memorial and approximately 750 feet north of the Franklin Delano Roosevelt Memorial. The site is generally bounded by Independence Avenue on the north, the pedestrian walkway of the Tidal Basin on the east, the Franklin Delano Roosevelt Memorial on the south, and recreational open space on the west. The site also includes a portion of West Basin Drive. The site is within the Southwest Quadrant of Washington, DC.

The site location is within Area I, as established by the Commemorative Works Act of 1986 (40 U.S.C. 1001 et. seq.). Area I is a sensitive area that is designated for commemorative works of “preeminent historic and national significance.” Area I is the federally owned land generally bounded by Constitution and Pennsylvania Avenues to the north, the Capitol Reflecting Pool to the east, Maryland Avenue and the 14<sup>th</sup> Street Bridge to the south, and Boundary Channel Drive and Arlington National Cemetery to the west. The site lies inside the Reserve as established by Congress in Public Law 108-126 and was specifically exempted from the provisions of the Reserve since its selection and approval predated the enactment of the Public law.

The site is a prominent and symbolic location that is relevant to the subject of the Memorial. The site is in the line of sight between the Lincoln Memorial and the Jefferson Memorial. This alignment creates a visual ‘line of leadership’ from the Memorial to Abraham Lincoln, where King gave his famous “I Have a Dream” speech, to the Memorial to Thomas Jefferson, an author and participant in the Declaration of Independence and the Constitution which Dr. King referred to as promissory notes in the speech.

#### 1.3.1 Study Area

The study area for identifying potential environmental impacts from the proposed action is the central portion of the Monumental Core, including the National Mall, the Washington Monument Grounds, and West Potomac Park. An area of particular emphasis will be given to the portion of the study area that generally extends between the Lincoln Memorial and the Washington Monument, and between Constitution Avenue and the Jefferson Memorial. The broader study area is intended to serve as a guide within which short-term, long-term, and cumulative impacts of the proposed action are analyzed. The study area may expand or contract for each resource discipline, depending upon the potential for a specific impact to affect a given geographical area.




*The study area is generally defined to include the National Mall, the Washington Monument Grounds, and West Potomac Park.*





The L'Enfant Plan of 1791



The McMillan Plan of 1901

### 1.3.2 History of the National Mall

In the earliest plans for Washington, the area from the Capitol to the Washington Monument was intended as parkland, and the proposed Memorial site was part of the Potomac River. Over time, the National Mall and the larger Monumental Core area have expanded and become national repositories for the nation's museums and commemorative works. Today, the NPS has jurisdiction over public open space in the Monumental Core.

*L'Enfant Plan of 1791* – The National Mall was first planned in the 1791 L'Enfant Plan of Washington, DC. The Plan defines the physical and symbolic character of the nation's capital city through a coordinated system of radiating avenues and vistas overlaid upon an orthogonal grid of streets. The L'Enfant Plan is listed in the National Register of Historic Places and the DC Inventory of Historic Sites.

*McMillan Plan of 1901* - The Senate Park Commission of 1901, known as the McMillan Commission, expanded upon the L'Enfant Plan to enhance and extend the National Mall one mile to the west to create a site for the Lincoln Memorial. The features implemented from the 1901 McMillan Plan are protected historic resources.

*Other Plans* - In 1966, a Master Plan introduced several improvements to the Mall, including the creation of Constitution Gardens, construction of a reflecting pool west of the Capitol Building, and the transformation of two streets along both sides of the Mall into wide walkways. The plan also unified the National Mall and West Potomac Park into a single open area.

Current plans for the Monumental Core, such as the *Extending the Legacy Plan* and the *Memorials and Museums Plan*, build on the National Mall's success in providing a formal landscape within which America's ideals are memorialized. The National Mall is one of the best examples of design in the world and is the foremost location for icons of America's national and cultural heritage.

## 1.4 Significance of Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr.

Born in Atlanta, Georgia in 1929, Dr. King was the son of a pastor and schoolteacher. After receiving his bachelors degree from Morehouse College, he completed his doctorate in Theology at Boston University. In 1956, he made his first mark on the Civil Rights movement by mobilizing the black community to participate in a successful year-long boycott of the bus system in Montgomery, Alabama to protest its segregated seating policies. In 1957, Dr. King formed, and was elected president of, the Southern Christian Leadership Conference, which assisted local communities working for full equality of black people in all aspects of American life.

Dr. King's nonviolent tactics were put to their test in Birmingham, Alabama in 1963 during a mass protest against segregation that included lunch counter sit-ins and marches. Police brutality against the marchers dramatized the plight of blacks to the rest of the nation. Although Dr. King was arrested, he wrote his famous "Letter from a Birmingham Jail" to refute his critics and emphasize his position.

In 1963, Dr. King spoke at the historic March on Washington, where he delivered his "I Have a Dream" speech, which is widely regarded as his most passionate address. His powerful message to the nation and its institutions at the seat of the Federal government movingly expressed the plight of black people and their struggle for freedom, greatly empowered the Civil Rights Movement, and established him as an American leader. After this pivotal moment in history, Dr. King continued with his advocacy work on a variety of issues, from the War on Poverty to voter registration in Selma, Alabama, which culminated in the Selma-to-Montgomery Freedom March. Intellectuals, clergymen, and Americans of every background were drawn to Dr. King's message and to the Movement. In 1964, Dr. King became the youngest person to receive the Nobel Peace Prize.

Dr. King's work led him to Memphis, Tennessee to support striking sanitation workers. While standing on the balcony of the Lorraine

Motel in Memphis with Jesse Jackson and Ralph Abernathy, Dr. King was assassinated on April 4, 1968. The violent death of a man who had been so dedicated to nonviolence caused grief and anger in communities across America. Because of his status as a national icon, Dr. King's birthday, January 15, is recognized as a national holiday.



*Image of the 'Stone of Hope' feature in the Memorial.*

Source: MLK Memorial Foundation





*Dr. King receiving the Nobel Prize for Peace in 1964.*

Source: Bob Finch/Black Star



*Dr. King meeting with President Johnson at the White House*

Source: Corbis



*Dr. King with Indian Prime Minister Jawaharlal Nehru in New Delhi.*


Source: Corbis



*Mrs. Coretta King with President Ronald Reagan in 1986, as he signs the bill making the birthday of Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. a national holiday.*

Source: Ebony Magazine





Design competition Call for Entries poster.  
Source: Martin Luther King, Jr. Memorial Foundation

## 1.5 Design Process

### 1.5.1 Design Competition

The Foundation formed a committee of architects, planners, artists, and landscape architects in March 1998 to coordinate the design and architectural process for the Memorial. An international design competition for the Memorial was launched in December 1999. In the competition package, entrants were asked to propose memorial designs that exemplify the mission, vision, and values of Dr. King.

#### *Design Challenges*

The essential design challenges included designing a “living memorial” that would convey themes of Justice, Hope, Democracy, and Love, as well as Dr. King’s leadership and spirituality. Through places of ‘contemplation, education and celebration’, the memorial would also :

- Capture the essence of Personal Empowerment (inner capacity)
- Capture the essence of Active Citizenship (common commitment)
- Capture the essence of Perpetual Quests for Justice (moral integrity)

#### *Design Parameters*

Specific design parameters for the Memorial included:

- The size of the site will be approximately four acres. No less than three acres, excluding West Basin Drive, and no more than four acres, including West Basin Drive, shall be devoted to the Memorial.
- The Foundation and the NPS will provide a general design for and construction of a relocated West Basin Drive.
- The Tidal Basin side of the site shall be defined by the western edge of the existing walkway along the Tidal Basin.

- All of the cherry trees along the Tidal Basin must be preserved. It is understood that one to three trees may be removed or repositioned if absolutely necessary for purposes of access between the Tidal Basin walkway and the Memorial at the location of the existing access way.
- No Memorial element shall be placed in the Tidal Basin.
- The existing visual transparency from Independence Avenue to the Tidal Basin shall be maintained.
- The relationship of hardscape to softscape shall be no greater than 1/3 hardscape and no less than 2/3 softscape (not including West Basin Drive or the Tidal Basin walkway).
- No element of the Memorial shall exceed 20 feet in height.
- There shall be no bookstore, museum, or other rooms located at the Memorial, above or below grade.
- There shall be no restroom facilities at the Memorial.
- There shall be no vehicle parking at the Memorial; however, three bus and six disabled vehicle spaces must be accommodated.

### ***Results of the Competition***

The design competition elicited more than 900 design submissions from architects, landscape architects, students, professors, and sculptors, representing 52 countries around the world. The submissions were evaluated based on the effectiveness with which they addressed the following questions:

1. To what extent is the design both educational and inspirational?
2. How well does the design engage and share the life of Martin Luther King and his message?
3. How well does the design succeed in harmonizing with nature?

In September, 2000, the jury selected the winning design submitted by ROMA Design Group of San Francisco, California. On December 4, 2000, the site was dedicated and a marble and bronze memorial marker was placed to symbolize the future use of the site.




*Design submissions displayed at the MCI Center in Washington, DC.*

Source: Martin Luther King, Jr. Memorial Foundation

### **1.5.2 Ongoing Design Review**

The focus of this EA is to identify the impacts of the Proposed Memorial and the No Action Alternative. Subsequent to completion of the EA, the project will undergo design review and approval by the NPS, NCPIC, CFA, and the State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO). During preliminary informational presentations with these agencies, the competition-winning Memorial design concept received many favorable comments. Three unresolved issues were identified that will be considered for elimination during the design review and approval process. Two issues that should not affect the evaluation of impacts in this assessment are: (1) the niches named for other persons in the Civil Rights Movement along the upper walkway because that would violate the Commemorative Works Act by memorializing more than Dr. King without specific Congressional authorization, and (2) the footbridge spanning the entry portal because it intrudes on the meaning of cleaving the Mountain of Despair and its linkage to the Stone of Hope beyond. The third issue (3) was the height and length of the berm that would serve as a barrier to the views from Independence Avenue to the Tidal Basin and Jefferson Memorial in the winter. This is evaluated in Section 4.2.3, and may affect the configuration of the berm as the design evolves.





The winning entry submitted by the ROMA Design Group uses landscape elements to powerfully convey three fundamental and recurring themes of Dr. King's life: justice, democracy and hope.





1-13



## 1.6 Cumulative Relationship to Other Projects

Several ongoing and planned projects in the vicinity of the Memorial site could generate cumulative impacts when considered together with the impacts of the proposed action. The projects are as follows:

- **World War II Memorial** - Recently opened, this Memorial is located across Independence Avenue from the Martin Luther King, Jr. Memorial site and will be considered in the discussion of visitation patterns.
- **Jefferson Memorial Security Improvements** - This project involves the construction of a vehicular barrier system to improve security for the Jefferson Memorial.
- **Lincoln Memorial Circle Rehabilitation and Security Improvements** - This project includes transportation improvements to Lincoln Circle, a vehicular barrier system along the outer edge of the Lincoln Memorial, and construction of new visitor service areas.
- **Washington Monument Security Improvements** - This project involves improvements to the temporary security system at the Washington Monument, including construction of a permanent visitor screening facility and a vehicle barrier system.

## 1.7 Issues and Impact Topics


On January 7, 2003, a publicly noticed public scoping meeting was convened to solicit comments and concerns regarding the proposed Memorial program. The meeting was held in The American Institute of Architects Board Room at 1735 New York Avenue, N.W., Washington, DC. Attendees included members of the National Coalition to Save Our Mall, as well as representatives from the NPS, CFA, NCPC, and the Foundation. General topics determined through the scoping process to be key issues for analysis in this EA include :



*A public scoping session was held to solicit comments on the proposed Memorial.*

1. Cumulative impacts relating to the entire Monumental Core, including the Memorial's relationship to past, present, and future planning initiatives in the study area.
2. Potential issues related to the obstruction of certain views of the Tidal Basin and the characterization of views to and from the Memorial. The vehicular and pedestrian entry sequence to the site should be considered.
3. Potential impacts to existing vegetation on the site. Specifically, the EA should address any impacts to the historically and culturally important cherry trees along the Tidal Basin.
4. Potential issues related to the site's water resources and location in a floodplain. The cumulative effect of the World War II Memorial and proposed changes to Jefferson Memorial should be considered.
5. Potential impacts to the recreational open space and landscape character and/or historic features of West Potomac Park.
6. Potential impacts to the transportation network and circulation. The combined effects of visitation to and circulation among other memorials must be considered, and particular attention should be paid to peak access demands and fluctuations.

## 2.0 PROPOSED ACTION AND ALTERNATIVES





## 2.1 Consideration of Alternative Sites

The approved Tidal Basin location for the Washington, DC Martin Luther King, Jr. National Memorial was selected after evaluation of an initial twelve (12) potential sites. From the initial 12 sites, the development potential and limitations of a reduced candidate list of five (5) sites were investigated in a Site Selection Study, which culminated in a report dated October 1998. The primary findings of the site selection study with respect to the reduced list of five sites are summarized on the following pages.



### Site #1 (Constitution Gardens )

This site is located near the intersection of Constitution Avenue and 17<sup>th</sup> Street, within the northeast section of Constitution Gardens and the Reflecting Pool. The site consists of 2 buildable acres within a 27.5-acre total area. Advantages of the site include its peaceful landscaped setting, large space for public gatherings, location near public transit, and central location on the National Mall. The site has no major environmental constraints or planning restrictions.



Source: Adapted from graphic by Terra Designs, Inc.



### *Site #2 (Recreational Fields)*

This site is located adjacent to the Washington Monument Reflecting Pool. There are approximately 1.2 buildable acres within this 12.5-acre area. The site offers flexibility, a historical connection to the 1963 march on Washington, one of the pivotal events in Dr. King's life, and an ability to serve as a gathering place. However, a number of constraints, such as requirements to maintain access to the Reflecting Pool, preserve critical views, observe height restrictions, and maintain the ratio of built to open space, limits its development potential.



Source: Adapted from graphic by Terra Designs, Inc.

### *Site #3 (West Potomac Park)*

This triangular-shaped site is located south of the Independence Avenue/West Basin Drive intersection, adjacent to the Tidal Basin and the Franklin Delano Roosevelt Memorial. It offers approximately 2.2 buildable acres within a 27-acre setting. While advantages of the site include its flat, open setting and views to other memorials, development of the Memorial would be adversely affected by noise, traffic, and a lack of contextual compatibility.



Source: Adapted from graphic by Terra Designs, Inc.



#### *Site #4 (Tidal Basin East)*

This site is located on the northeastern side of the Tidal Basin, along the general axis between the White House and Jefferson Memorial. Since the site is currently bisected by a service roadway, the buildable space ranges from .75 acres to 1.4 acres of the total 17.5-acre site. The site is subject to a no-build area along the vista between the White House and the Jefferson Memorial, lacks a prominent location and strong connections to other memorials, and does not offer space for public gatherings. This site was effectively disqualified as a potential site for the proposed Memorial.



Source: Adapted from graphic by Terra Designs, Inc.

#### *Site #5 (RFK Stadium Plaza)*

This site is a 3.2-acre planting island located on the east-west axis with the Capitol. The site offers convenient access to public transportation, has no height restrictions, allows for viewing from all sides, and corresponds with future redevelopment plans for the city. However, the site's size would limit the Memorial's landscape setting, visual detractors surround the site, and there is little tourist activity in the vicinity.



Source: Adapted from graphic by Terra Designs, Inc.

### ***Subsequent Considerations of Alternative Sites***

The analysis resulted in a preferred site at the east end of Constitution Gardens Lake, encompassing the surrounding plaza and landscaped area. At the NCPC's March 4, 1999 meeting, the Constitution Gardens site was approved as the site for the Washington, DC Martin Luther King, Jr. National Memorial. On March 23, 1999, the CFA disapproved the site because it is "intended as a place of refreshment and respite for the visitor" in the plan for Constitution Gardens. After the decision, a task force of representatives of the Foundation, NPS, NCPC, and CFA was convened to examine the issue. The west end of Constitution Gardens Lake was introduced and considered, as was a site off to the side of the Lincoln Memorial. Within this period of analysis, the Western Tidal Basin site emerged as a part of the FDR Park playing fields site alternative.


### ***Western Tidal Basin***

The Western Tidal Basin site, which is located north of the Franklin Delano Roosevelt Memorial at the midpoint between the Lincoln and Jefferson Memorials, was evaluated in a similar manner as the previous five sites. According to the Addendum to the Site Selection Study, the site is an advantageous location for the Memorial because it occupies a symbolic position with respect to Dr. King's life, and it is a relatively quiet place with stunning views to nearby monuments. The potential disadvantages of the Western Tidal Basin site include the current alignment of West Basin Drive through the site and the restrictions on massing and height. The location of this site is illustrated in the image on the following page.

The CFA endorsed the concept of a memorial at the Western Tidal Basin site on June 17, 1999 but reserved approval until design parameters were prepared, resulting in a December approval of the site by CFA. At a July 1, 1999 meeting, the NCPC raised questions about a site for the Memorial in the Tidal Basin's general area. Issues of concern included its location in a floodplain, the roadway 'spur'

that currently bisects the site, and aircraft noise from its location along the Ronald Reagan Washington National Airport flight path. The Coordinating Committee of NCPC reviewed the Tidal Basin site again at its November 10, 1999 meeting and forwarded the proposal to NCPC. According to the proposal statement, the project had been coordinated with the participating agencies: the DC Office of Planning, the DC Fire Department, the DC Department of Housing and Community Development, the DC Department of Public Works/Department of Transportation, the Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority, GSA, NPS, and NCPC.

On December 2, 1999, NCPC rescinded its March 4, 1999 action that approved the East End of Constitution Gardens for the Memorial. The Commission took further action to approve the four-acre site adjacent to the Tidal Basin for the Washington, DC, Martin Luther King, Jr. National Memorial. This site was also approved by the National Capital Memorial Commission and the CFA subject to the design parameters listed in Section 1.6.1 above.




*As an addendum to the Site Selection Study, the Western Tidal Basin site was evaluated in a similar manner as the previous five sites.*

Source: Martin Luther King Jr., Memorial Foundation



## Summary Graphic



*Proposed features of the Martin Luther King Jr. National Memorial*

## 2.2 Proposed Memorial

The proposed action is the establishment and operation of a memorial to Dr. King on a 3-acre site, within the triangular area bounded by Independence Avenue, relocated West Basin Drive, and the western edge of the Tidal Basin walkway in Washington DC. The proposed Martin Luther King, Jr. Memorial is conceptually a landscape experience, using stone, water, and trees to convey the central themes of Dr. King's legacy: justice, democracy, and hope, as well as peace, active citizenship, and moral integrity.

The major components of the proposed Memorial concept include a central plaza partially enclosed by earthen berms, a symbolic entranceway, and a large sculptural element. The primary entrance to the Memorial would be from the intersection of Independence Avenue and West Basin Drive.

Visitors would enter the site through the "Mountain of Despair," a rough, hewn stone portal consisting of two parted stones and a single stone pushed back into the horizon, appearing as if it were the missing piece of a single boulder. As proposed, a pedestrian bridge would span the entrance and connect the two walkways on top of the earthen berms.

This 15-foot wide entry portal would lead from the Entry Plaza at elevation 12 MSL near Independence Avenue to the Memorial Plaza, a public gathering space at elevation 8 MSL that would be defined by a crescent-shaped, sloping Water Wall. Extending over 600 feet in length, and peaking at 8 to 12 feet in height, the Water Wall would be inscribed with prominent excerpts from Dr. King's writings.

The centerpiece of the Memorial Plaza would be a rough-hewn, 20-foot high boulder. This boulder, known as the "Stone of Hope," would be sculpted with Dr. King's image and excerpts from his speeches on the side facing the Tidal Basin, along the viewshed to the Franklin Delano Roosevelt and Jefferson Memorials.

The Memorial site would be enhanced with additional tree plantings, such as cherry, magnolia, oak, and evergreens, to reinforce the spatial integrity of the Memorial and ensure seasonal floral change. At the top of the wall, oak trees would be planted in a regular arrangement to delineate the curvature of the site. Throughout the rest of the site, the trees would be planted in a more random fashion, weaving together the cherry trees and the edge of the Tidal Basin. The proposed Memorial would not alter the existing Tidal Basin walkway or water's edge.

With implementation of the proposed Memorial, approximately 2 acres would consist of green softscape and 1 acre of hardscape area (an additional 1 acre would be dedicated to the realignment of West Basin Drive and its associated sidewalks to the west). A bus drop-off area with three bus parking spaces and six disabled parking spaces would be provided. The entire Memorial would be ADA-compliant. The Memorial would be constructed as a single project from start to finish but certain activities would be phased to minimize site disruption.

## 2.3 No Action Alternative

As part of the environmental analysis process, the consequences of a No Action Alternative are also considered. Under the No Action alternative, all existing features of the site would remain in their existing condition and use. There would be no new development or re-configuration of the site. The existing configuration of the roadways and parking would also be maintained.

The existing trees, including cherry trees, would not be affected and no new plantings would be added to the site. West Basin Drive would remain in its current configuration, as would the surrounding recreational fields. The existing pathways, fencelines, and furniture would remain in their existing locations, without any improvements.